#### BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

#### OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF DISTRIBUTION OF WATER	)	
TO WATER RIGHTS NOS. 36-02356A, 36-07210,	)	ORDER DENYING REQUEST
AND 36-07427	)	FOR DISQUALIFICATION
	)	OF THE DIRECTOR AS A
	)	MATTER OF RIGHT
	)	(Blue Lakes Delivery Call)
	_)	

This matter is before the Director of the Department of Water Resources ("Director" or "Department") as a result of Blue Lakes Trout Farm, Inc.'s *Petition for Disqualification of the Director and IDWR Personnel as the Presiding Hearing Officer* ("Petition"), filed with the Department on August 12, 2005.

# Disqualification of the Director as a Matter of Right

Blue Lakes Trout Farm, Inc. ("Blue Lakes") asserts that Idaho Code § 67-5252(1) allows it to disqualify the Director, without cause, from presiding over the hearing in this matter. *Petition* at p. 1.

In ascertaining the meaning of a statute, the following well-established principles apply:

The objective of statutory construction is to derive the intent of the legislature. Statutory construction begins with the literal language of the statute. Where a statute is unambiguous, statutory construction is unnecessary and courts are free to apply the plain meaning. Ambiguity occurs where reasonable minds might differ as to interpretations. However, ambiguity is not established merely because the parties present differing interpretations to the court. In the case of ambiguous language, courts will analyze the reasonableness of proposed interpretations and consider the "context in which language is used, the evils to be remedied and the objects in view." Generally, interpretations that could lead to absurd or unreasonably harsh results are disfavored.

Hayden Lake Fire Protection Dist. v. Alcorn, 141 Idaho 307, 311, 109 P.3d 161, 166 (2005) (internal citations omitted).

Idaho Code § 67-5252(1), the statute upon which Blue Lakes bases its request for disqualification of the Director, states in full:

Except as provided in subsection (4) of this section, any party shall have the right to one (1) disqualification without cause of any person serving or designated to serve as presiding officer, and any party shall have a right to move to disqualify for bias,

prejudice, interest, substantial prior involvement in the matter other than as a presiding officer, status as an employee of the agency hearing the contested case, lack of professional knowledge in the subject matter of the contested case, or any other cause provided in this chapter or any cause for which a judge is or may be disqualified.

# Emphasis added.

For purposes of Title 67, Chapter 52 of the Idaho Code, "Person" is defined to mean "any individual, partnership, corporation, association, governmental subdivision or agency, or public or private organization or entity of any character." Idaho Code § 67-5201(15). "Agency head" is defined as "an individual or body of individuals in whom the ultimate legal authority of the agency is vested by any provision of law." Idaho Code § 67-5201(4). In the case of the Department, the individual in whom the ultimate legal authority of the agency is vested is the Director. See Idaho Code §§ 42-1801, -1804, and -1805.

In order to disqualify an "Agency head," a party must look to Idaho Code § 67-5252(4). See Idaho Code § 67-5252(1) ("Except as provided in subsection (4) of this section, any party shall have the right to one (1) disqualification without cause of any person serving or designated to serve as presiding officer. . . .") (emphasis added). Idaho Code § 67-5252(4) states in full:

Where *disqualification* of the *agency head* or a member of the agency head would result in an inability to decide a contested case, the actions of the agency head shall be treated as a conflict of interest under the provisions of section 59-704, Idaho Code.

## Emphasis added.

While Idaho Code § 67-5252(4) contemplates the disqualification of an "agency head," it, unlike Idaho Code § 67-5252(1), does not explicitly state the grounds upon which the "agency head" may be disqualified; therefore, the circumstances under which an agency head may be disqualified are not explicitly defined in Idaho Code 67-5252. Presuming that the legislature intended to provide a means for a party to disqualify an agency head, it is appropriate to examine the legislative intent of not only Idaho Code § 67-5252, *Hayden*, 141 Idaho at 311, 109 P.3d at 166, but also the entire Idaho Administrative Procedure Act, to determine the bases upon which an agency head may be disqualified. "[W]hen a statute merely comprises a section of an act, the court must look to the intent and purpose of the entire act." *Odenwalt v. Zaring*, 102 Idaho 1, 10, 624 P.2d 383, 392 (1980).

On July 1, 1993, the current version of the Idaho Administrative Procedure Act became effective. In conjunction with its promulgation, former Idaho Attorney General, Larry EchoHawk, published the Idaho Administrative Procedure Act with Comments and Idaho Attorney General's Model Rules of Practice and Procedure, *Effective July 1, 1993* (hereinafter "IDAPA with Comments and Model Rules"). According to the IDAPA with Comments and Model Rules, the current Idaho Administrative Procedure Act, which had not been updated since 1965, was drafted through a collective effort between the Attorney General's Office and an interim legislative committee. As indicated by its title, descriptive comments follow most sections of the publication. While the "comments were not officially adopted by the Idaho Legislature in connection with the passage of the A.P.A. . . . . the comments were prepared

for and available to the legislative interim committee that studied the draft of the A.P.A. prepared by the Attorney General's task force and were used by both that task force and the interim committee in their work." *Id*.

According to the Idaho Session Laws, IDAPA, § 67-5252 was a "NEW SECTION." Act Relating to the Amendment of the Administrative Procedure Act, ch. 263, 1992 Idaho Sess. Laws 809.

As stated in the *Comments* to § 67-5252:

Subsection (1) provides grounds for disqualifying a presiding officer other than an agency head. A party is entitled to disqualify one hearing officer without cause. Since presiding officers are held to the same impartiality as judges, a presiding officer may be disqualified for any cause sufficient to disqualify a judge. In addition, the subsection provides two further grounds for disqualifying a hearing officer: status as an employee of the agency holding the contested case, or lack of professional knowledge of the subject matter are defined as sufficient cause to disqualify a hearing officer.

. . . .

Subsection (4) is concerned with the situation in which an agency head is subject to a petition for disqualification. The agency head is required to comply with Section 2 of the Ethics in Government Act, Idaho Code § 59-704. This problem can arise in two distinct situations: when the agency head is a single person or when the agency head is a multimember body and the disqualification would either disqualify all members or would potentially result in a tie vote.

The Ethics in Government Act requires a decisionmaker to disclose fully any potential conflict of interest relevant to the matter to be acted upon. The disclosure is to be provided to the person appointing the decisionmaker. The Administrative Procedure Act is not intended to displace the Ethics in Government Act.

IDAPA WITH COMMENTS AND MODEL RULES at p. 36 (emphasis added).

Rule 412 of the Idaho Attorney General's Model Rules of Practice and Procedure ("Model Rule 412"), which is substantially similar to Rule 412 of the Department's Rules of Procedure, IDAPA 37.01.01.412, and is contained within the IDAPA WITH COMMENTS AND MODEL RULES, states in full:

Pursuant to section 67-5252, Idaho Code, any party shall have a right to one (1) disqualification without cause of any person serving or designated to serve as a presiding officer and any party shall have a right to move to disqualify a hearing officer for bias, prejudice, interest, substantial prior involvement in the case other than as a presiding officer, status as an employee of the agency hearing the contested case, lack of professional knowledge in the subject matter of the contested case, or any other reason provided by law or for any cause for which a judge is or may be disqualified. Any party may, within fourteen (14) days, petition for the disqualification of a hearing officer after receiving notice that the officer will preside at a contested case or promptly upon discovering facts establishing grounds for disqualification, whichever is later. Any party may assert a blanket disqualification for cause of all employees of the agency hearing the

contested case, other than the agency head, without awaiting the designation by a presiding officer. A hearing officer whose disqualification is requested shall determine in writing whether to grant the petition for disqualification, stating facts and reasons for the hearing officer's determination. Disqualification of the agency heads, if allowed, will be pursuant to sections 59-704 and 67-5252(4), Idaho Code.

## Emphasis added.

A reading of the *Comments* to § 67-5252(1), Model Rule 412, IDAPA 37.01.01.412, Idaho Code § 67-5252(1), and Idaho Code § 67-5252(4) supports the conclusion that the legislature intended that the "agency head" cannot be disqualified without cause under subsection (1). Furthermore, Rule 412 of the Department's Rules of Procedure, IDAPA 37.01.01.412, is consistent with the intent of the Idaho Administrative Procedure Act that the Director cannot be disqualified in accordance with Idaho Code § 67-5252(1). *Compare* Model Rule 412 ("Disqualification of the agency heads, if allowed, will be pursuant to sections 59-704 and 67-5252(4), Idaho Code.") *with* IDAPA 37.01.01.412 ("Disqualification of agency heads, if allowed, will be pursuant to Sections 59-704 and 67-5252(4), Idaho Code.") *and* Idaho Code § 67-5252(4) ("Where disqualification of the agency head shall be treated as a conflict of interest under the provisions of section 59-704, Idaho Code.").

The legislative intent of the Idaho Administrative Procedure Act indicates that the exclusive means for disqualification of the agency head is through Idaho Code § 67-5252(4). The legislative history of Idaho Code § 67-5252 demonstrates that the basis upon which the agency head may be disqualified in accordance with subsection (4) is found in Idaho Code § 59-704. Idaho Code § 59-704 states in pertinent part:

A public official shall not take any official action or make a formal decision or formal recommendation concerning any matter where he has a conflict of interest and has failed to disclose such conflict as provided in this section. Disclosure of a conflict does not affect an elected public official's authority to be counted for purposes of determining a quorum and to debate and to vote on the matter, unless the public official requests to be excused from debate and voting at his or her discretion. In order to determine whether a conflict of interest exists relative to any matter within the scope of the official functions of a public official, a public official may seek legal advice from the attorney representing that governmental entity or from the attorney general or from independent counsel. If the legal advice is that no real or potential conflict of interest exists, the public official may proceed and shall not be subject to the prohibitions of this chapter. If the legal advice is that a real or potential conflict may exist, the public official:

. . . .

(3) If he is an appointed or employed state public official, he shall prepare a written statement describing the matter to be acted upon and the nature of the potential conflict, and shall deliver the statement to his appointing authority. The appointing authority may obtain an advisory opinion from the attorney general or from the attorney representing that agency. The public official may then act on the advice of the attorney general, the agency's attorney or independent counsel.

Because Blue Lakes has not alleged a conflict of interest, and the Director has not failed to disclose conflicts of interest, if any, the Director should not be disqualified in accordance with Idaho Code § 67-5252(4).

The legislature's intent that the Director should not be disqualified without cause is further evidenced by Idaho Code §§ 67-5243, -5244, and -5245. Idaho Code § 67-5243 provides that even if an agency head decides not to act as the presiding officer in a contested case, the appointed hearing officer can only issue a recommended order, Idaho Code § 67-5244, or a preliminary order, Idaho Code § 67-5245, both of which are ultimately reviewable by the agency head. When a preliminary or recommended order is reviewed by the agency head, the agency head is entitled to agree with the order, remand the matter for additional hearings, or hold additional hearings. See Idaho Code §§ 67-5244(2)(a)-(c) and -5245(6)(a)-(c). In each instance, "[t]he agency head on review of the [recommended decision or preliminary order] shall exercise all the decision-making power that he would have had if the agency head had presided over the hearing." See Idaho Code §§ 67-5244(3) and -5245(7) (emphasis added).

Blue Lakes' contention that the Director is subject to automatic disqualification under Section 67-5252(1) leads to the illogical conclusion that while the agency director could not serve as the presiding officer he nonetheless could make the final decision and in doing so "exercise *all the decision-making power* that he would have had if [he] had presided over the hearing." *See* Idaho Code §§ 67-5244(3) and -5245(7) (emphasis added). Such a result would not advance the legislative purpose of streamlining the administrative hearing process. Rather, Blue Lakes' argument could essentially result in two hearings – one before a presiding officer and another, repeat hearing before the Director. There is simply no logical basis for presuming the Legislature intended to require what could be a meaningless hearing before a presiding officer if the Director has the ultimate authority to make the final decision.

### Disqualification of all Department Employees as a Matter of Right

In addition to arguing that the Director must be disqualified as a matter of right, Blue Lakes "seeks blanket disqualification for cause, pursuant to Idaho Code § 67-5252(2) of all IDWR employees as the presiding hearing officer in the hearing on this contested case." *Petition* at 1. In its Petition, Blue Lakes alleges cause as follows:

Blue Lakes is entitled to a hearing conducted by a person who will be objective and unbiased, and will hear the evidence and arguments with an open mind. IDWR employees who report to the Director cannot be objective or unbiased, or have an open mind, in a hearing in which the Director's findings of fact, conclusions of law, and actions are contested. Many IDWR employees have likely been involved in preparing the Director's order, precluding their objective review of the evidence and arguments that will be presented. Such employees will also likely be fact witnesses. As a result, IDWR employees cannot provide Blue Lakes a meaningful opportunity to be heard, consistent with Blue Lakes' due process rights.

*Id.* at pp. 1-2.

Idaho Code § 67-5252(2), the statute upon which Blue Lakes relies for blanket disqualification for cause of all Department employees, states in full:

Any party may petition for the disqualification of a person serving or designated to serve as presiding officer:

- (a) within fourteen (14) days after receipt of notice indicating that the person will preside at the contested case; or
- (b) promptly upon discovering facts establishing grounds for disqualification, whichever is later.

Any party may assert a blanket disqualification for cause of all employees of the agency hearing the contested case, other than the agency head, without awaiting designation of a presiding officer.

Blue Lakes has alleged sufficient cause to disqualify all Department employees; therefore, Blue Lakes' request on that ground should be granted.

#### ORDER

Based upon the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

- 1. Blue Lakes Trout Farm, Inc.'s request to disqualify the Director without cause is DENIED.
- 2. Blue Lakes Trout Farm, Inc.'s request to disqualify all Department employees for cause is GRANTED.

DATED this <u>31</u> day of October, 2005.

KARIJ. IQKEHEI

Director

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this _	day of November, 2005, the above and
foregoing document was served by placing a	a copy of the same in the United States mail, postage
prepaid and properly addressed to the follow	ving:

DANIEL V. STEENSON (x) U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid CHARLES L. HONSINGER ( ) Facsimile (x) E-mail RINGERT CLARK PO BOX 2773 BOISE ID 83701-2773 (208) 342-4657 dvs@ringertclark.com clh@ringertclark.com (x) U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid **GREGORY KASLO** ( ) Facsimile BLUE LAKES TROUT FARM (x) E-mail PO BOX 1237 TWIN FALLS ID 83303-1237 (208) 733-0325 gkaslo@rmci.net JEFFREY C. FEREDAY (x) U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid ( ) Facsimile MICHAEL C. CREAMER (x) E-mail **GIVENS PURSLEY** PO BOX 2720 BOISE ID 83701-2720 (208) 388-1200 cf@givenspursley.com mcc@givenspursley.com NORTH SNAKE GWD (x) U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid ( ) Facsimile 152 EAST MAIN STREET JEROME ID 83338 (208) 388-1300

(x) U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid

( ) Facsimile

MAGIC VALLEY GWD 809 EAST 1000 NORTH

RUPERT ID 83350-9537

MICHAEL GILMORE
ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
PO BOX 83720
BOISE ID 83720-0010
(208) 334-2830
mike.gilmore@ag.idaho.gov

SCOTT CAMPBELL MOFFATT THOMAS PO BOX 829 BOISE ID 83701 (208) 385-5384 slc@moffatt.com

FRANK ERWIN WATERMASTER WATER DIST 36 2628 SOUTH 975 EAST HAGERMAN ID 83332

ALLEN MERRITT
CINDY YENTER,
WATERMASTER - WD 130
IDWR - SOUTHERN REGION
1341 FILLMORE ST STE 200
TWIN FALLS ID 83301-3380
(208) 736-3037
allen merrit@idwr.idaho.gov
cindy.yenter@idwr.idaho.gov

- (x) U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid
- () Facsimile
- (x) E-mail
- (x) U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid
- ( ) Facsimile
- (x) E-mail
- (x) U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid
- ( ) Facsimile
- (x) U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid
- ( ) Facsimile
- (x) E-mail

Victoria Wigle

Administrative Assistant to the Director Idaho Department of Water Resources